Exhibit I

to the Declaration of Robert F. Lopez in Support of Plaintiffs' Second Motion to Compel Production by Amgen, Inc.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

IN RE PHARMACEUTICAL INDUSTRY AVERAGE WHOLESALE PRICE LITIGATION	MDL No. 1456	
	CIVIL ACTION: 01-CV-12257-PBS	
	Judge Patti B. Saris	
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO		

PLAINTIFFS' AMENDED AND/OR SUPPLEMENTAL REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS TO PHASE 2 DEFENDANTS RELATING TO IMS DATA

Pursuant to Rules 26 and 34 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the Local Rules of the District Court for the District of Massachusetts, and pursuant to the schedule allowing expert discovery, Plaintiffs hereby request that you produce the documents requested herein within thirty (30) days.

I. **DEFINITIONS**

1. "Document(s)" is used in the broadest possible sense and means without limitation, any written, printed, typed, photostated, photographed, recorded or otherwise reproduced or stored communication or representation, whether comprised of letters, words, numbers, data, pictures, sounds or symbols, or any combination thereof. This definition includes copies or duplicates of documents contemporaneously or subsequently created which have any non-conforming notes or other markings. Without limiting the generality of the foregoing, "document" includes, but is not limited to, correspondence, memoranda, notes, records, letters,

envelopes, telegrams, messages, studies, analyses, contracts, agreements, working papers, accounts, analytical records, reports and/or summaries of investigations, trade letters, press releases, comparisons, books, calendars, diaries, articles, magazines, newspapers, booklets, brochures, pamphlets, circulars, bulletins, notices, drawings, diagrams, instructions, notes of minutes of meetings or of other communications of any type, including inter-office and intra-office communications, electronic mail/messages and/or "e-mail," electronically stored telephone messages and/or "voice-mail," questionnaires, surveys, charts, graphs, photographs, phonograph recordings, films, tapes, disks, data cells, print-outs of information stored or maintained by electronic data processing or word processing equipment, all other data compilations from which information can be obtained (by translation, if necessary, by you through detection devices into usable form), including, without limitation, electromagnetically sensitive storage media such as floppy disks, hard disks and magnetic tapes and any preliminary versions, as well as drafts or revisions of any of the foregoing, whether produced or authored by you or anyone else.

- 2. "All documents" means every document and every non-identical copy known to you and every such document or writing which you can locate or discover by reasonably diligent efforts, including, but not limited to, documents now in the possession, custody or control of Defendant, its merged or acquired predecessors, its former and present directors, officers, counsel, agents, employees and/or persons acting on its behalf.
- 3. The term "Defendant" refers to any of the Defendants to whom this is directed, its officers, directors, affiliates, employees, representatives and agents (whether actual, apparent or otherwise).
- 4. "You" or "Your" means the Defendant responding to these Requests and any of its subsidiaries, divisions, affiliates, officers, directors, employees or agents, including, but not limited to, attorneys and accountants.

II. INSTRUCTIONS

- 1. A document shall be deemed to be in your control if you have the right to secure the document or copy thereof from another person or public or private entity having possession or custody thereof. If any otherwise responsive document was, but is no longer, in existence or in your possession, custody or control, or has been lost, discarded or destroyed, said document shall be identified as completely as possible including, but not limited to, the following information:
- (a) the date of disposal or disposition from your possession, custody or control;
- (b) the manner of disposal or disposition from your possession, custody or control;
- (c) the reason for disposal or disposition from your possession, custody or control;
- (d) the person authorizing disposal or disposition from your possession, custody or control;
 - (e) the document's current or last known custodian;
- (f) the circumstances surrounding the document's disposition from your possession, custody or control;
- (g) the generic category of the document, e.g., memo, letter, computer printout, etc.;
 - (h) the type(s) of information contained in the document; and
- (i) the identity of all persons having knowledge or who had knowledge of the contents of the document.
- 2. Unless otherwise indicated, the documents to be produced include all documents prepared, sent, dated or received, or those which otherwise came into existence at anytime during the relevant period described herein.

- 3. (a) Where an objection is made to any document request under Fed. R. Civ. P. 34, the objection shall state with specificity all grounds. Any ground not stated in an objection within the time provided by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, or any extensions thereof, shall be waived.
- (b) Where a claim of privilege is asserted in objecting to any document demand, or sub-part thereof, and an answer is not provided on the basis of such assertion:
- (i) the attorney asserting the privilege shall in the objection to the document demand, or sub-part thereof, identify the nature of the privilege (including work product) that is being claimed and if the privilege is being asserted in connection with a claim or defense governed by state law, indicate the state's privilege rule being invoked; and
- (ii) the following information shall be provided in the objection, unless divulgence of such information would cause disclosure of the allegedly privileged information:
 - (A) for documents: (1) the type of document; (2) general subject matter of the document; (3) the date of the document; and, (4) such other information as is sufficient to identify the document for a subpoena duces tecum, including, where appropriate, the author of the document, the addressee of the document, and, where not apparent, the relationship of the author and addressee to each other;
 - (B) for oral communications: (1) the name of the person making the communication and the names of persons present while the communication was made and, where not apparent, the relationship of the persons present to the person making the communication; (2) the date and the place of communication; and, (3) the general subject matter of the communication.
- 4. Notwithstanding the assertion of any objection to production, any document to which an objection is raised containing non-objectional subject matter which is relevant and material to a request must be produced, but that portion of the document for which the objection

is asserted may be withheld or redacted provided that the above-requested information is furnished.

- 5. This request is continuing and all documents coming into your possession, custody or control which you would have been required to produce had they been available at an earlier time shall be produced forthwith in accordance with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
- 6. Each document requested herein is requested to be produced in its entirety and without deletion or excisions, regardless of whether you consider the entire document to be relevant or responsive to these requests. If you have redacted any portion of a document, stamp the word "redacted" on each page of the document which you have redacted. Redactions should be included on the privilege log described in Instruction 3.
- 7. The fact that a document is produced by one defendant does not relieve any other defendant of the obligation to produce his or its copy of the same document, even if the two documents are identical in all respects.
- 8. In producing documents, you are requested to produce the original of each document requested together with all non-identical copies and drafts of that document. If the original of any document cannot be located, a copy shall be provided in lieu thereof, and shall be legible and bound or stapled in the same manner as the original.
- 9. All documents shall be produced in the file folder, envelope or other container in which the documents are kept or maintained by you. If, for any reason, the container cannot be produced, produce copies of all labels or other identifying marks.

III. RELEVANT TIME PERIOD

The relevant period of these document requests, unless otherwise indicated, shall be from the launch of the drugs you manufactured that are on Exhibit A, to the date of production and shall include all documents and information which relate in whole or in part to such period, or to events or circumstances during such period, even though dated, prepared, generated or received prior or subsequent to that period.

IV. REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 1:

With respect to the drugs on Exhibit A, the following IMS reports or data for each such drug that you manufactured:

A. IMS National Disease and Therapeutic Index (NDTI) Data

For each drug that is physician administered and/or covered by Medicare Part B, please provide NDTI data including the following:

- Timeframe: Monthly, from 1991 to the present
- Method of payment or insurance type
- In terms of TRXs and/or dollars if possible, or in terms of office visits (or "drug uses" or "appearances") if not
- By manufacturer
- By form (e.g., injectable, tablets, etc.)
- By strength (e.g., 15 mg, 30 mg, etc.)

B. IMS National Sales Perspective (NSP) (previously the Retail and Provider Perspective)

For each drug that is physician administered and/or covered by Medicare Part B, along with any generics for those drugs, please provide NSP (or RPP) data including the following:

- Timeframe: Monthly, from 1991 to the present
- Data elements: Units, Extended Units, Dollars
- By channel (e.g. clinic, non-federal hospital, chain, etc.)
- By NDC or its equivalent
- By form
- By strength

DATED: November 15, 2005

By /s/ Steve W. Berman

Thomas M. Sobol (BBO#471770) Edward Notargiacomo (BBO#567636) Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro LLP One Main Street, 4th Floor

Cambridge, MA 02142 Telephone: (617) 482-3700 Facsimile: (617) 482-3003 LIAISON COUNSEL Steve W. Berman Sean R. Matt Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro LLP 1301 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2900 Seattle, WA 98101 Telephone: (206) 623-7292 Facsimile: (206) 623-0594

Elizabeth Fegan Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro LLP 60 W. Randolph Street, Suite 200 Chicago, IL 60601 Telephone: (312) 762-9235 Facsimile: (312) 762-9286

Eugene A. Spector Jeffrey Kodroff Spector, Roseman & Kodroff, P.C. 1818 Market Street, Suite 2500 Philadelphia, PA 19103 Telephone: (215) 496-0300 Facsimile: (215) 496-6611

Marc H. Edelson Allan Hoffman Hoffman & Edelson 45 West Court Street Doylestown, PA 18901 Telephone: (215) 230-8043 Facsimile: (215) 230-8735

Kenneth A. Wexler Jennifer F. Connolly The Wexler Firm LLP One North LaSalle Street, Suite 2000 Chicago, IL 60602 Telephone: (312) 346-2222 Facsimile: (312) 346-0022 Samuel D. Heins Alan I. Gilbert Susan E. MacMenamin Heins, Mills & Olson, P.C. 3550 IDS Center 80 South Eighth Street Minneapolis, MN 55402 Telephone: (612) 338-4605 Facsimile: (612) 338-4692 CO-LEAD COUNSEL FOR

PLAINTIFFS

EXHIBIT A

List of Phase 2 Drugs for IMS Data Request

Manufacturer	Drug Name
Abbott	Acetylcyst Acyclovir A-Methapred Amikacin Aminosyn Calcijex Cimetidine Clindamycin Dextrose Diazepam Fentanyl Citrate Furosemide Gentamicin Heparin Leucovor Calcium Lorazepam Sod. Chloride Tobra/NACL Tobramycin
Amgen	Aranesp Enbrel Epogen Kineret Neulasta Neupogen
Aventis	Anzemet Calcimar Cardizem (Inj) Gammar P IV Intal (Nebulizer only) Taxotere
B. Braun McGaw	Bretylium Dextran Dextrose Dialyte Dopamine Heparin Sod Hepatamine Hepatic-aid

Manufacturer	Drug Name
	Hepna
	Isolyte
	Lidocaine
	Sodium Chloride
	Theophylline
	ттеорпуште
Baxter	Aggrastat
	Ativan
	Bebulin
	Brevibloc
	Buminate
	Cisplatin
	Claforan
	Dextrose
	Doxorubicin
	Gammagard
	Gentam/NACL
	Gentran
	Heparin
	lveegam
	Osmitrol
	Recombinate
	Sod Chloride
	Travasol
	Vancocin
Bayer	Cipro IV
	DTIC Dome
	Gamimune
	Koate
	Kogenate
	Mithracin
Bedford	Acyclovir Sodium
Dedioid	Amikacin Sulfate
	Cytarabine
	Etoposide
	Leucovorin Calcium
Dey Labs	Acetylcysteine
	Albuterol Neb
	Cromolyn Sod
	Ipratropium
	Metaproteren Neb
Fujisawa Healthcare	Aristocort
	Aristospan
	•

Manufacturer	Drug Name
	Cefizox
	Lyphocin
	Nebupent
	Prograf
	Vinblastine Sulfate
	Acyclovir Sodium
	Dexamethasone Sod Ph
	Doxorubicin HCI
	Fluorouracil
	Gentamicin Sulfate
	Comanian Canalo
Gensia	Amikacin Sulfate
	Amphotercin
	Etoposide
	Leucovorin Calcium
Immunex	Leucovor CA Inj
	Leukine
	Methotrexate
	Novatrone
	Thioplex
	····op.ex
Novartis	Miacalcin
Pfizer	Zithromax Inj
Pharmacia	Adriamyc
	Adrucil
	Amphocin
	Bleomycin
	Cytarabine
	Depo-Testost Inj
	Etoposide
	Neosar
	Solu-Cortef
	Solu-Medrol
	Toposar
	Vincasar
	Villododi
Roche	Cellcept
	Kytril
Sicor	Acyclovir Sodium
	Amikacin Sulfate
	Doxorubicin HCI
	Etoposide
	Leucovorin Calcium
	LCGCOVOTHT CARCIUITI

Manufacturer	Drug Name
	Pentamidine Isethionate
	Tobramycin Sulfate
Тар	None
Watson	Dexamethasone Acetate Dexamethasone Sodium Diazepam IV Ferrlecit Fluphenazine Gentamicin Infed
	Lorazepam Vancomycin
	varicomyciii

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I, Steve W. Berman, an attorney, caused a true and correct copy of the foregoing, PLAINTIFFS' AMENDED AND/OR SUPPLEMENTAL REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS TO PHASE 2 DEFENDANTS RELATING TO IMS DATA to be delivered to all counsel of record by electronic service pursuant to Paragraph 11 of the Case Management Order No. 2, by sending on November 15, 2005, a copy to Lexis/Nexis File & Serve for posting and notification to all parties.

By /s/ Steve W. Berman
Steve W. Berman
HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP
1301 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2900
Seattle, WA 98101
(206) 623-7292

Exhibit J

to the Declaration of Robert F. Lopez in Support of Plaintiffs' Second Motion to Compel Production by Amgen, Inc.



UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

IN RE PHARMACEUTICAL INDUSTRY

AVERAGE WHOLESALE PRICE

LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO THE

AMENDED MASTER CONSOLIDATED

CLASS ACTION

MDL No. 1456

Civil Action: 01-CV-12257-PBS

Hon. Patti B. Saris

AMGEN INC.'S RESPONSES AND OBJECTIONS TO PLAINTIFFS' NOTICE OF DEPOSITIONS

Pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 30 and 34, defendant Amgen Inc. ("Amgen"), by its attorneys, objects and responds to Plaintiffs' request for documents ("Requests") made in connection with its January 10, 2006 Notice of Depositions ("Notice") of Steven Burnette, Carol Citty, Linda Karlsson, Brandin Klein, Linda Licklider, and Kimberly Reeder as follows:

GENERAL OBJECTIONS

- 1. Amgen expressly incorporates by reference its "General Objections" set forth in its Responses and Objections to Plaintiffs' previous requests for production of documents, including Plaintiffs' Omnibus Requests for Production, which apply to the Requests in their entirety, including the Definitions, Instructions, Rules of Construction, and Relevant Time Period.
- Amgen further objects to the Requests as untimely. Discovery in the
 MDL case closed on December 3, 2005. Plaintiffs served the Notice on January 10, 2006, more than a month after the close of discovery.

3. Amgen's responses to the Requests are made without waiving the right to object to the competency, materiality, relevancy, or admissibility of any documents produced in response to the Requests. Any Specific Objections provided below are made in addition to these General Objections, and failure to reiterate a General Objection below does not constitute a waiver or limitation of that or any other objection.

RESPONSE TO SPECIFIC REQUESTS

REQUEST NO. 1:

All Orion Account Activity Reports, Millennium – Call Activity to Organization Reports, or Millennium Contract Report Notes, or similar activity reports made by you for your manager or other supervisory personnel.

RESPONSE: In addition to the General Objections set forth above, Amgen objects to Request No. I on the grounds that it is untimely and to the extent Amgen has already produced documents responsive to this request in its response to Plaintiffs' Omnibus Requests, as modified by the parties' agreement. Amgen further objects to Request No. 1 on the grounds that the phrase "similar activity reports" is vague and undefined.

REQUEST NO. 2:

All documents that compare AWPs to the costs of a subject drug, whether the drug is an Amgen, Inc.[sic] drug, a competing drug, or both.

RESPONSE: In addition to the General Objections set forth above, Amgen objects to Request No. 2 on the grounds that it is untimely and to the extent Amgen has already produced documents responsive to this request in its response to Plaintiffs' Omnibus Requests, as modified by the parties' agreement. Amgen further objects to Request No. 2 on the grounds that the term "costs" is vague and undefined.

REQUEST NO. 3:

All documents that concern any economic analysis done for a provider concerning a subject drug, including but not limited to financial comparisons that you have created, used on sales calls or received and which concern or reference AWP or rebates.

RESPONSE: In addition to the General Objections set forth above, Amgen objects to Request No. 3 on the grounds that it is untimely and to the extent Amgen has already produced documents responsive to this request in its response to Plaintiffs' Omnibus Requests, as modified by the parties' agreement. Amgen further objects to Request No. 3 on the grounds that the phrases "economic analysis" and "financial comparison" are vague and undefined.

REQUEST NO. 4:

All documents concerning the provision by you to a provider of AWPs for any subject drug or reflecting a discussion between you and a provider regarding or referencing AWP.

RESPONSE: In addition to the General Objections set forth above, Amgen objects to Request No. 4 on the grounds that it is vague and ambiguous. Amgen further objects to Request No. 4 on the grounds that it is untimely and to the extent Amgen has already produced documents responsive to this request in its response to Plaintiffs' Omnibus Requests, as modified by the parties' agreement.

REQUEST NO. 5:

All training or other documents setting forth the meaning of, or discussing, the notation "Econ-Aranesp/Procrit Price Comparison" as it appears on any Orion Account Activity Report, or similar activity report.

RESPONSE: In addition to the General Objections set forth above, Amgen objects to Request No. 5 on the grounds that it is untimely and to the extent Amgen has already produced documents responsive to this request in its response to Plaintiffs' Omnibus Requests,

as modified by the parties' agreement. Amgen further objects to Request No. 5 on the grounds that the phrase "similar activity reports" is vague and undefined.

REQUEST NO. 6:

All documents constituting, evidencing, illustrating, or performing any "Aranesp/Procrit Price Comparison," whether prepared by you or anyone else.

RESPONSE: In addition to the General Objections set forth above, Amgen objects to Request No. 6 on the grounds that it is untimely and to the extent Amgen has already produced documents responsive to this request in its response to Plaintiffs' Omnibus Requests, as modified by the parties' agreement.

/s/ Jennifer A. Walker

Joseph H. Young Steven F. Barley Jennifer A. Walker Hogan & Hartson L.L.P. 111 S. Calvert St., Suite 1600 Baltimore, Maryland 21202

Telephone: (410) 659-2700 Facsimile: (410) 539-6981

Dated: February 9, 2006

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 9th day of February 2006, a true and correct copy of Amgen Inc.'s Responses and Objections to Plaintiffs' Notice of Depositions was served upon all counsel of record via electronic service pursuant to CMO No. 2 by causing a copy to be sent to LexisNexis File & Serve for posting and notification.

/s/ Jennifer A. Walker
Jennifer A. Walker

Exhibit K

to the Declaration of Robert F. Lopez in Support of Plaintiffs' Second Motion to Compel Production by Amgen, Inc.



UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

IN RE PHARMACEUTICAL INDUSTRY
AVERAGE WHOLESALE PRICE
LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO THE
AMENDED MASTER CONSOLIDATED
CLASS ACTION

MDL No. 1456
Civil Action: 01-CV-12257-PBS

Hon. Patti B. Saris

AMGEN INC.'S RESPONSES AND OBJECTIONS TO PLAINTIFFS' NOTICE OF DEPOSITIONS

Pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 30 and 34, defendant Amgen Inc. ("Amgen"), by its attorneys, objects and responds to Plaintiffs' request for documents ("Requests") made in connection with its January 10, 2006 Notice of Depositions ("Notice") of Lori Araniti Whiteley, Penelope (Penny) Kozeny, and Karen Biancalana as follows:

GENERAL OBJECTIONS

- 1. Amgen expressly incorporates by reference its "General Objections" set forth in its Responses and Objections to Plaintiffs' previous requests for production of documents, including Plaintiffs' Omnibus Requests for Production, which apply to the Requests in their entirety, including the Definitions, Instructions, Rules of Construction, and Relevant Time Period.
- Amgen further objects to the Requests as untimely. Discovery in the
 MDL case closed on December 3, 2005. Plaintiffs served the Notice on January 10, 2006, more than a month after the close of discovery.

3. Amgen's responses to the Requests are made without waiving the right to object to the competency, materiality, relevancy, or admissibility of any data produced in response to the Requests. Any Specific Objections provided below are made in addition to these General Objections, and failure to reiterate a General Objection below does not constitute a waiver or limitation of that or any other objection.

RESPONSE TO SPECIFIC REQUESTS

REQUEST NO. 1:

All documents constituting or evidencing communications with any medical/pharmaceutical publisher, including but not limited to Medical Economics Company (Red Book), First DataBank of San Bruno, and First DataBank of Indianapolis, that reference or regard: AWP; suggested AWP; or the calculation of AWP on the basis of any other price point such as, but not limited to, WAC.

RESPONSE: In addition to the General Objections set forth above, Amgen objects to Request No. 1 on the grounds that it is untimely and to the extent Amgen has already produced documents responsive to this request in its response to Plaintiffs' Omnibus Requests, as modified by the parties' agreement.

REQUEST NO. 2:

All documents referencing, explaining, or concerning the way in which AWPs are set for any drug manufactured or produced by Amgen Inc. or any of its affiliates and identified on Schedule B hereto.

RESPONSE: In addition to the General Objections set forth above, Amgen objects to Request No. 2 on the grounds that it is untimely and because it purports to require Amgen to undertake a search for responsive documents beyond that agreed upon in connection with Amgen's response to Plaintiffs' Omnibus Requests. Amgen also objects to Request No. 2

to the extent Amgen has already produced documents responsive to this request in its response to Plaintiffs' Omnibus Requests, as modified by the parties' agreement.

REQUEST NO. 3:

All documents referencing, explaining, or concerning the spread or margin between: a) AWP and b) WAC or any other price point or measure, including actual sales price, for any drug manufactured or produced by Amgen Inc. or any of its affiliates and identified on Schedule B hereto.

RESPONSE: In addition to the General Objections set forth above, Amgen objects to Request No. 3 on the grounds that it is untimely and because it purports to require Amgen to undertake a search for responsive documents beyond that agreed upon in connection with Amgen's response to Plaintiffs' Omnibus Requests. Amgen also objects to Request No. 3 to the extent Amgen has already produced documents responsive to this request in its response to Plaintiffs' Omnibus Requests, as modified by the parties' agreement.

/s/ Jennifer A. Walker
Joseph H. Young
Steven F. Barley
Jennifer A. Walker
Hogan & Hartson L.L.P.
111 S. Calvert St., Suite 1600
Baltimore, Maryland 21202
Telephone: (410) 659-2700
Facsimile: (410) 539-6981

Dated: February 9, 2006

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 9th day of February 2006, a true and correct copy of Amgen Inc.'s Responses and Objections to Plaintiffs' Notice of Depositions was served upon all counsel of record via electronic service pursuant to CMO No. 2 by causing a copy to be sent to LexisNexis File & Serve for posting and notification.

/s/ Jennifer A. Walker
Jennifer A. Walker